divisible and that the elective process is not part of it.

It is taken in as a package arrangement.

I would say that the acceptance or rejection of the Missouri plan is one of the most difficult and frustrating and soul-searching decisions that I have had to make since I have been in this Convention. No doubt the plan has some merit to it, and it is for that reason that so many capable lawyers and capable judges have differed with each other. But where do we go for the answer?

while the plan has merit, it has certain builtin weaknesses. The plan results in a departure from history
and a system wherein the judiciary becomes dependent upon
the executive branch of the government, and it destroys the
long-established doctrine of separation of the three powers of
government into three independent departments. It destroys
the independence of the judiciary to the political, rather
than the elective process. The removal of the judges from
election by the people deprives them of the inherent, basic
right implicit in the philosophy that the closer the government is to the people, the better the government. It constitutes another erosion to the principles of an elective system.